

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,789	11/18/2003	Gerhard Jozef Bleys	EUR 50872/USw	4562
7590 11/26/2004  Patent Counsel  Huntsman International LLC 286 Mantua Grove Road			EXAMINER	
			GORR, RACHEL F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
West Deptford, NJ 08066-1732			1711	

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-
Office A (1)	10/715,789	BLEYS ET AL.	1 /
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rachel F. Gorr	1711	,
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addr	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third dwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this comi	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the m	nerits is
Disposition of Claims	<i>:</i>		
4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,3,5,7,8,10-12,14,15,17 and 18 is/a 7)  Claim(s) 2,4,6,9,13,16 and 19 is/are objected 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	awn from consideration.  are rejected. I to.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination.	cepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand ction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to, See 37 CFR 1	1.121(d). 152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. ts have been received in Ap prity documents have been re tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Sta	ige
Attachment(s)		·	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-22-04.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/715,789

Art Unit: 1711

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7, 8, 10-12, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Joichi of JP7324161.

Joichi, in example 1 of page 4, shows a polyurethane made form polymethylene polyphenylene polyisocyanate (crude MDI, in abstract) and a polyether polyol having a molecular weight of 9350 and a functionality of 3 (see abstract and col. 4, line 19) and a polyether mono-ol having a molecular weight of 7000 and made at an NCO/OH ratio of about 1. The only additive is about 0.2 wt. % of a catalyst, and the monool reacts with about 32 % of the NCO groups. The properties of the polyurethane would be inherent because the polyurethane is the same as the invention.

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Joichi.
- 5. Joichi discloses the invention of the claims (see above), but differs from this claim in example one by showing only about 0.03 hard block ratio.

Application/Control Number: 10/715,789

Art Unit: 1711

Page 3

- 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a higher amount of hard block ratio by using a mono-ol of lower molecular weight. Joichi discloses using a mono-ol of molecular weight of 500-100,000 (see abstract). In the event that lower molecular weight polyols are used, the hard block content would increase. Joichi discloses a range of mono-ol molecular weight that would made the amount of hard block content overlap with claim 18.
- 7. Claims 2, 4, 6, 9, 13, 16 and 19 are objected to for depending on a rejected claim.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel F. Gorr whose telephone number is 571-272-1072. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R.G. November 22, 2004

> RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER

Rochel Gor